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**Abstract**

This contribution offers a description of the tasks of Christian ecotheology both from a *de facto* and from a *de iure* perspective. In a constructive proposal it is suggested that this entails both a twofold critique and a twofold constructive task, i.e. an ecological critique of Christianity and a Christian critique of ecological destruction, a constructive contribution to Christian authenticity and on that basis to multi-disciplinary discourse on ecological concerns in the public sphere. This is unpacked in subsequent sections, holding these dual tasks together through the notion of an ecological reformation and the tension between vision and discernment. It is suggested that a constructive contribution to Christian authenticity is indeed theologically crucial, namely to discern the movements of the Spirit, now amidst the advent of the Anthropocene. This contribution raises but does not address the theological question as to what God is up to in a time like this.
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**Five decades of ecotheology[[1]](#footnote-1)**

Christian ecotheology emerged as a scholarly discourse in the 1970s,[[2]](#footnote-2) partly in response to growing ecological concerns, expressed for example in the contested report to the Club of Rome on *Limits to Growth* (1972),[[3]](#footnote-3) partly in response to the equally contested thesis by Lynn White that Christianity is a root cause of the environmental crisis given its deeply anthropocentric orientation.[[4]](#footnote-4) Sources of inspiration to address these challenges emerged from a retrieval of the biblical roots and subsequent history of the Christian tradition, its classic texts, doctrines, moral codes, forms of praxis, saints and leading theologians.[[5]](#footnote-5) For a decade or two ecotheology retained a clear focus, namely reflection on the relationship between “man” and nature, later reformulated as the place and role of humanity within the biophysical environment,[[6]](#footnote-6) or (for some) humanity’s significance in cosmic evolution.[[7]](#footnote-7) If anything, ecotheology could be equated with renewed attention to the doctrine of creation, anthropology and environmental ethics.

Since the 1990s ecotheology has become an increasingly amorphous discourse – covering a wide array of themes and underlying problems, situated in diverse geographical contexts, expressed in multiple languages, emerging in basically all confessional traditions and schools of theology, covering all the traditional sub-disciplines of Christian theology, trapped in the same old methodo­­logical disputes (e.g. between biblical studies and systematic theology, systematic theology and practical theology, religion and theology).[[8]](#footnote-8) Arguments are typically reiterated in different contexts, often in isolation from what emerges elsewhere. At the same time, ecotheology is characterised by global divides – along confessional lines, between the North and the South, the West and the East, on issues of gender and sexual orientation and, especially, by reference to contemporary science (especially in the global North) and / or traditional, indigenous wisdom (widespread in the global South).[[9]](#footnote-9) Worldviews clearly play an important role albeit that this category is itself contested and open to confusion.[[10]](#footnote-10) With other theological discourses, ecotheology shares the need to distinguish Christian theology from other forms of theology (especially in the Abrahamic faiths but also with reference to notions of the “Supreme Being” in Indigenous religion), theology from religious studies, the humanities from the social sciences and, in the Anthropocene, the humanities from the natural sciences.

**A twofold critique and a twofold constructive contribution**

Given the increasingly amorphous character of Christian theology there is little hope of gaining methodological clarity and a sense of direction for future discourse. Indeed, it is hard to discern any “current paths and emerging horizons”.[[11]](#footnote-11) In this contribution I will nevertheless suggest that, at best, throughout the last five decades Christian ecotheology, arguably retained both a critical and a constructive task. As I have often suggested, ecotheology offers a dual critique, namely both an ecological critique of Christianity and a Christian critique of ecological destruction. This is similar to feminist theology that offers a feminist critique of Christianity and a Christian critique of patriarchy, and to Black theology that offers a “Black” critique of Christianity and a Christian critique of white supremacy. These dual critiques need to be held together. The genius of Christian ecotheology lies in its ability to maintain this dual critique. Without a critique of Christianity, it becomes an apologetic exercise that overlooks the need for a radical ecological reformation of Christianity and merely reiterates human responsibility towards the environ­ment through notions of stewardship or priesthood. Without a Christian critique of ecological destruction, ecotheology loses its ability to offer any distinct contribution to wider debates. Ecotheology then becomes nothing more than one branch of “religion and ecology” and cannot avoid the traps of self-secularisation.

Such a dual critique would itself become empty without a recognition of the more constructive task of ecotheology. This constructive task is also of a dual nature. This includes both a contri­bu­tion to Christian authenticity and, on that particular basis, also a contribution to public, inter-disciplinary discourse on sustainability[[12]](#footnote-12) – where such a contribu­tion is often highly contested. I will suggest below that the former entails five key prophetic and pastoral tasks, namely to read the signs of the times (the symptoms), to expose the underlying root causes of the problem (diagnostics), to discern the counter-movement of the Spirit, to tell the story of God’s work accordingly and to express a prophetic vision of what the world could be and should be like.

In the discussion below I will offer some further reflection first on the twofold critical task of Christian ecotheology (under the rubric of an ecological reformation of Christianity) and then on the twofold constructive task (under the rubric of vision and discernment, deliberately keeping these together.

**An ecological reformation of Christianity**

There is something deeply unnerving for Christians, both in the global North and in the global South, regarding the dual critique mentioned above. Several layers of such unease may be identified, painting with rather broad brush strokes:

First, the prophetic tradition of speaking truth to power is alive and well, for example in ecumenical calls for climate justice and the recognition of climate debt. The World Council of Churches has taken the lead here with sustained statements over several decades.[[13]](#footnote-13) This has helped to ensure that environmental issues are framed as matters of justice (and not merely nature conservation or wilderness preservation). The intricate links between sustainability, peace, health, poverty, and gender have to be recognised as “transversals” that are at least dimensions of all other social challenges.[[14]](#footnote-14) These efforts are to be commended. However, on a cautionary note, it is also true that such calls are hardly heard by those in positions of political and economic power so that these all too often come from a safe distance where prophets do not have to carry the consequences of their words.[[15]](#footnote-15) Moreover, Christians do not take the lead here in the sense that environmental activists in the forefront of such challenges to power typically do not align themselves with Christianity. It seems that the divides between right-wing and left-wing Christianity (in the 1970s “evangelicals” versus “ecumenicals”) are often deeper on issues of (climate) justice than between Christians and activists in other religious traditions. In fact, one may observe that natural scientists, against their own methodological inclinations, have become the prophets of our day by reiterating warnings over climate change, the loss of biodiversity, ocean acidification and a range of other “planetary boundaries”, speaking truth to power. They may be heard, but their warnings are not necessarily heeded and some have been targeted, intimidated and vilified.[[16]](#footnote-16) There is also a danger of false prophets here, not least when scientists are portrayed as the self-appointed saviours of human civilisation.

Second, orthodox and evangelical Christians may well be concerned that external criteria (ecological sustainability) are applied to critique Christianity. Is this not another form of natural theology, albeit of a progressive kind? This can be circumvented by discovering that ecological concerns are deeply rooted in the Christian tradition, its sacred texts, doctrines, moral codes and forms of praxis. If so, it is not Christianity that is at fault but the ways in which the Christian gospel has been appropriated to legitimise domination. Accordingly, the problem is not the command in Genesis 1:27 but that this command has been gravely misunderstood. However, as the ecological critique of Christianity deepened, this apologetic position became harder to sustain. The Bible may contain sources of ecological wisdom but at least some “grey” texts are deeply ambiguous.[[17]](#footnote-17) Accordingly, the problem does not only lie with the interpretation of Genesis 1:27 but with the harshness of the command itself.[[18]](#footnote-18) Likewise, every aspect of the Christian faith has become contested, for example the emphasis on God’s transcendence, human supremacy, dualist views on the soul rather the body, Spirit rather than matter, the “myth” of the fall of humanity and the original “goodness” of creation, anthropocentric soteriologies, divine election, Christocentric exclusivism, eschatological escapism and so forth.[[19]](#footnote-19) This critique is readily extended to Christian ethics, pastoral praxis, ecclesial ministries and especially to Christian mission given its alignment with colonialism. Put differently, such an ecological reformation is not only a matter of ethics but also of ecclesiology.[[20]](#footnote-20) A Christian blessing of discourse on sustainability on the basis of a rejuvenated doctrine of creation would not suffice.

Third, there is some bitter irony here concerning global divides. While so-called secular North-Western Europe is turning its back on Christianity, the centre of gravity in global Christianity is moving South and East, found especially in the proliferation of multiple forms of Pentecostalism – and to some extent the Americanisation of Christianity. Wherever this has happened, the ecological critique of Christianity deepened. In the South the alignment of Christianity with colonialism prompted calls for decolonising Christianity, the heart of the Christian gospel and the Trinitarian core of the Christian faith.[[21]](#footnote-21) In the African context this begs many further questions about the continuity between Christianity and African traditional religion and culture. Some argue for its compatibility, while others resist Christianity as a colonising, divisive religion.[[22]](#footnote-22) Likewise, in the East the Christian faith is scrutinised in conversation with Buddhism, Hinduism and Confucianism.

Fourth, there is another way in which this dual critique is unnerving. The more the ecological critique of Christianity is emphasised, the less room there seems to be for a Christian critique of ecological destruction. To point any fingers leads to a recognition of more fingers pointing back at the complicity of Christianity. If Christianity is indeed part of the underlying problem, as is widely assumed from the outside, then the most significant contribution that Christians can make to address ecological problems may be to get its own house in order. This may be hard to swallow. If so, it seems that Christians should stop proclaiming that it has a message that can save the world. The question is no longer whether there can be salvation outside the church, but whether there is salvation inside the church,[[23]](#footnote-23) whether the church itself and its message can still be salvaged, whether its decline is inevitable and even needs to be celebrated.

In the *T&T Clark Handbook of Christian Theology and Climate Change* that Hilda Koster and I edited we took this critique as a point of departure, namely to suggest that if North-Atlantic Christianity is indeed associated with the root causes of anthropogenic climate change, then addressing North-Atlantic Christian theology from within may be crucial in order to address climate change.[[24]](#footnote-24) The most significant contribution that Christians can make to global discourse on climate change is to get its own house in order. There are alternatives of course, namely to abandon Christianity and to opt for another religion or something like secular humanism.

Fifth, for Christianity in the global South the challenge is different. Where people have embraced Christianity (as is the case in most of Africa) and with it aspects of Western culture – and American forms of consumerism[[25]](#footnote-25) – it needs to figure out what this really means given the contested and tainted legacy of Christianity and the vibrancy of other religious traditions. In generalised terms, while some resisted colonisation and with that Christianity as the colonisation of consciousness, some have appropriated Christianity in order to survive amidst colonial oppression – and now readily abandon it. Others may have become Christian in order to gain access to the inner secrets of Western culture – and its cultural artefacts in terms of guns, cars, aeroplanes, televisions, computers and cellular phones (and soccer!). The key to such techno­logy may be science and therefore education and behind that modernity, but many surmise (correctly) that the rise of science is partly due to, and partly despite of Christianity.

Yet others have discerned the liberative and inclusive impulse of the Christian gospel. They understood the message better than the Western messengers ever did – slaves better than slave owners, the colonised better than their colonisers, Dalits better than English landlords, those who are gay better than those who are straight. But they may well wonder whether Christianity is really needed in order to maintain such an impulse. This is exacerbated by the bad press that churches often receive, by ecclesial hierarchies, its patriarchal leadership, its alignment with political power, its oppressive teachings on contraception, abortion, HIV, sexual orientation and so forth. Yet, it is also true that many have embraced Christianity deeply and enthusiastically as a source of, stability, resilience and sustenance. They operate with a hermeneutics of trust in the Bible, the tradition and the church. They find themselves bewildered when confronted with contemporary challenges. One example is the covenantal promise, symbolised by God’s rainbow, that the earth will never again be destroyed through water – that poses an existential crisis of faith for Christians in Kiribati and Tuvalu.[[26]](#footnote-26)

Calls for an ongoing ecological reformation of Christianity have to be understood in this light.[[27]](#footnote-27) In one of the earliest versions of such a call James Nash observed that this implies both a recognition that Christianity needs to be reformed (or else a reformation is not necessary) and that it can indeed be reformed from within (or else a reformation is not possible).[[28]](#footnote-28) As I have argued elsewhere, such a reformation can be prompted anywhere but the impulse for the reformation then becomes re-appropriated to address other problems so that it soon becomes comprehensive, touching pretty much on all aspects – the biblical texts, the history of the Christian tradition, Christian doctrine, moral codes, forms of praxis, rituals, spirituality and so forth.[[29]](#footnote-29) The Lutheran re­forma­tion may have started with malpractices around the selling of indulgences but soon had implications for almost every single aspect of society. Likewise, the Genevan reformation got going by inviting Calvin as a refugee to stay in Geneva, but soon he challenged the authorities for not being welcoming enough to the floods of (often wealthy) refugees flock­ing to the city. This again had far-reaching impli­ca­tions for every aspect of society and also shaped Calvin’s own theology. Given the polemical nature of such a reforma­tion, ongoing theological reflection will necessarily be required for the sake of clarification. This suggests a dialectic between reformation and critical reflection on such reformation.

**Vision and Discernment: The royal, priestly and prophetic tasks of ecotheology**

Does, Christianity, then, have any distinctive constructive contribution to offer in collaborative, multi-disciplinary efforts in public forums to address escalating ecological concerns? The question mark in the last sentence signal that the answer should not be taken for granted and that the content of any such answer will necessarily be contested. The priority may need to remain with the dual critique rather than with the dual constructive contributions. I will nevertheless proceed to offer some reflections on the latter.

My reflections are shaped by the form of prophetic Kairos theology that emerged in South Africa in the 1980s with specific reference to the *Belhar Confession* (1982/1986)*,*[[30]](#footnote-30) the *Kairos Document* (1985/1986)*,* the *Road to Damascus* (1989) and later the *Accra Confession* (2004). Common to these documents is the recognition of the difference between *chronos* and *kairos*,[[31]](#footnote-31) between the ongoing (priestly) tasks of “doing theology” and the prophetic possibility of a moment of crisis where the truth of the gospel is at stake.

There is also the more practical task of exercising responsibility regarding earthkeeping at the micro- and macro-levels (the so-called “royal” task of believers). This requires critical reflection on ethos, praxis and wisdom.[[32]](#footnote-32) Arguably, this constructive task in society can only come to fruition if it is indeed based on a contribution to Christian authenticity. From a theological perspective this may be the most important of the three responsibilities (prophetic, priestly and royal) given that Christianity is not an aim in itself but is aimed at the coming reign of God. This task clearly requires constant engagement in the public sphere between churches, faith-based organisations, various level of government, business and industry on a range of concrete issues but also on moral visions, rights, values, middle axioms, policies and programmes. It for example requires critical engagement with the sustainable development goals (SDGs) as proposed by the United Nations. I will not explore this “royal” task here in any detail since there is ample literature in this regard. Suffice it to say that the distinct contribution of Christian ecotheology in such debates in the public sphere is not always clear. It is understandable and indeed sometimes necessary that Christians merely reiterate what is said by others or translate Christian convictions in highly generalised categories in order to find the common ground that may be necessary. At the same time, Christians should not shy away from making a contribution to the common good if only they are able to make such a contribution.

The priestly task of Christian (eco)theology is necessary in order to keep alive what may be called a liturgical vision of the world.[[33]](#footnote-33) Worshippers enter the Christian liturgy with the burdens of the world on their shoulders, as sinners and as being-sinned against, with all their natural theologies, ideologies, idolatries and heresies. They bring with them views and analyses of the world around them and its dominant powers. It is money that makes the world go round; it is the rich, strong, famous, dexterous, talkative and beautiful who rule the world. They come with “warped” views of the world[[34]](#footnote-34) as “oh so beautiful” (romanticism), as “red in tooth and claw” (social Darwinism), as natural resources available for exploitation (capitalism), as something so sublime that it is to be worshipped (New Age mysticism), or as a threat to be tamed and brought under human control (ecomodernism).

Through the liturgy worshippers may slowly learn to see the world in a new light, in the light of the Light of the world. They may begin to see the world around them through God’s eyes, as God’s beloved creation. They may realise that this messed-up world and the messed-up lives in and around them are nevertheless beloved, so much that for God it is even worth dying for.[[35]](#footnote-35) They may learn to see the invisible, an intuition deeply embedded in Hebrew, Greek and African sensibilities. They may begin to see the earth in the light of “heaven”, in terms of what the world may become and in a hidden way already is.

This is a deeply counter-intuitive vision. For those with little power in society it may require long services to retrain their eyes, to see that it is really love that makes the world go round, that God’s love works through the vulnerability of the cross. For those in positions of power it may take even longer to see that, but they often don’t have time for that. Seeing things differently does make a world of difference. In Desmond Tutu’s vision, it means to see the beggar as one’s brother, the prostitute as one’s sister, the rapist as one’s uncle.[[36]](#footnote-36) When believers exit from the liturgy with God’s blessing, they are inspired by the vision that a different world is not only possible but has already been established, even though it remains hidden. The “liturgy after the liturgy” enables them to transform the world according to the core identity and characteristics of the Triune God, namely mercy and therefore justice.

Constructive discourse on Christian ecotheology, sustainability, environmental ethics, climate justice and so forth need to attend to many such ongoing (priestly) tasks and arcane disciplines. We need to read and reinterpret biblical texts with an ecological hermeneutics, and we need to recover some and critique other stories from the history (his-story) of Christianity. We need to engage critically with the content and significance of the Christian faith. We need to explore common ethical categories such as justice, rights, duties, responsibilities, values and virtues, what is good and what is right. We need to engage in critical reflection on ecclesial praxis (liturgies, preaching, eco-congregations, pastoral care, ministries, etcetera). And we need to reflect on God’s mission in the world, also in seeking common ground through dialogue with other living faiths and indeed with all other sectors of society, including government, business and industry, trade unions and the like. Again, this is both a matter of “ecclesiology” and of “ethics”. All of these are necessary tasks to keep the vision alive. In this sense Christian discourse on sustainability not only needs to be sustained (in the imperative) but in another sense also sustains (in the indicative) the daily praxis of Christian communities and the vocations of Christians in society. These tasks can best be sustained by the recognition that it is God’s mercy that nourishes and sustains us forever.[[37]](#footnote-37)

The prophetic task is not always present but needs to be recognised when appropriate. This requires a dialectic between vision and discernment.[[38]](#footnote-38) I suggest that this typically requires 1) a discernment of the “signs of the time” (if you like the symptoms of the underlying disease), 2) a prophetic critique against ruling powers but also against heresies (a diagnostics of the root causes of the disease),[[39]](#footnote-39) 3) seeking to discern the counter-movements of God’s Spirit, discovering the direction in which they lead, in order to know and follow God’s will (the remediating work of God to address what is wrong),[[40]](#footnote-40) 4) to tell, through Christian witness and theological reflection, the story of God’s work moving in that direction, and 5) the expression of a prophetic vision of what the world could be and should be like as a critical comment on how the world has become and now is. Indeed, it is this vision (step 5) that enables a recognition of the signs of the time (step 1) – so that this prompts an ongoing spiral of theological reflection.

The fourth of these theological tasks is in my view crucial but also dangerous – as any attempt to detect the “finger of God” in human history is fraught with the danger of legitimising narrow group interests. This has left behind a trail of blood and tears throughout the history of Christianity, not least in South Africa.[[41]](#footnote-41) One may retort that there is only one thing that is more dangerous than raising this question of what God is doing – and that is failing to raise it at all. I will refrain from taking up this task here, as I have done so elsewhere.[[42]](#footnote-42)

**Telling the story as core to the constructive task**

The liturgical vision outlined above is not expressed in any one image or model, but in the form of a narrative. In my view one of the crucial tasks of Christian ecotheology is therefore to find coherent ways (plural) of telling the story of God’s work in the world. This requires a juggling act in which at least seven “chapters” of the story are kept alive, namely 1) creation in the beginning, 2) ongoing creation throughout evolutionary history, 3) the emergence of humanity, its rise and fall, 4) providence (common grace), 5) the particular history of salvation, 6) the formation of the church, its upbuilding, ministries and missions and 7) the consummation of God’s work.[[43]](#footnote-43) Such a narrative necessarily prompts further reflection on this God’s identity and character.

One crucial problem is how to do justice to God’s work of creation *and* salvation.[[44]](#footnote-44) Another is to explain whether consummation implies restoration (Reformed), elevation (Roman Catholic), divinisation (Orthodox), replacement (Anabaptist) or recycling (liberalism).[[45]](#footnote-45) There are deep confessional divides here so that this task can only be addressed ecumenically. The task of the juggler is not so much to decide with which cone to start but to keep all the cones in the air. To privilege one is to let the others fall.

In years to come I hope to contribute to ecumenical collaboration in addressing this task through a series of twelve edited volumes with contributions from the global South and the global North, the West and the East, involving senior scholars and emerging voices. My hope is that if such a juggling act can be maintained, the seven chapters together may offer an interpretative lens through which the story of our times, of the advent of the Anthropocene, may be told.
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