RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS’ COMMENTS

**Article:** *“RELIGIOUS INTERSECTIONS IN AFRICAN CHRISTIANITY: THE CONVERSION DILEMMA AMONG INDIGENOUS CONVERTS”*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Reviewers | EXAMINER COMMENTS | CORRECTION MADE BY THE CANDIDATE |
| Reviewer C | * This is a well written and argued article. While the author engages with significant voices relevant to the argument of this article and offers a plausible and well-articulated conclusion, one wonders whether the author’s treatment of what he/she calls a “conservative Christian outlook” (pages 6) does not suggest a monolithic and generalised notion of Christianity.

 * The author could strengthen this aspect of the paper and briefly elaborate on what his/her proposed notion of hybridity entails if indeed it is distinct from notion of syncretism as the author appears to suggest, etc.
* In this vein, the author’s original contribution to debates on conversion begs further elaboration.
* This notwithstanding, I would like to congratulate the author and recommend the publication of this contribution.
 | * The author attended to the reviewer’s comment by describing and explaining the usage of the phrase “conservative Christian outlook” within the scope of the paper. The author noted that the phrase “conservative Christian outlook” is used in the present paper to refer to Christians who tend to follow conservative values, which stand in contrast to liberal Christian viewpoints. The label “conservative”, however does not necessarily imply the acceptance of all basic conservative values. Rather, it designates those Christian groups that are more traditional than other members of the same faith family are.
* The author elaborated on his understanding of hybridity as the emergence of a ‘third space’. This is in line with Homi Bhabha’s (1994: 211) assertion that “[T]he importance of hybridity is not to be able to trace two original moments from which the third emerges, rather hybridity [...] is the 'third space' which enables other positions to emerge. This third space displaces the histories that constitute it, and sets up new structures of authority, new political initiatives, which are inadequately understood through received wisdom”.
* The author thinks that the remark has been dealt with adequately as this is the main focus of the study.
* No response needed. But the author highly appreciates the positive remarks.
 |
| Reviewer D | * The article is good and worthy for publication. There are very required changes.
 | * No recommendations to be attended to.
 |